

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Comment

Post-acute conditions of patients with COVID-19 not requiring hospital admission

As of early April, 2021, more than 2·8 million individuals have died globally from COVID-19. However, tens of millions of patients have survived COVID-19 and returned to everyday life. Increasing evidence has shown that a considerable proportion of patients did not recover fully and had lasting sequelae, described by various terms without consensus, including long COVID, post-COVID condition or syndrome, postacute (or late) sequelae of COVID-19, and post-acute COVID syndrome.^{1,2} Studies have mainly focused on patients with COVID-19 after hospital admission.^{3,4} One study with a small sample size and without a control group of people without COVID-19 described the long-term outcomes of patients with COVID-19 who did not require hospital admission.⁵

In The Lancet Infectious Diseases, Lars Christian Lund and colleagues⁶ did a population-based cohort study in Denmark and reported the medical outcomes of patients with COVID-19 not requiring hospital admission, within 6 months after testing SARS-CoV-2 positive. The authors should be commended because the study introduced a control group of SARS-CoV-2-negative individuals, had a large cohort (8983 SARS-CoV-2positive and 80894 negative individuals), and adopted a reasonable propensity score matching analysis. Compared with SARS-CoV-2-negative participants during the study period, they found that SARS-CoV-2positive individuals had an increased risk of receiving the diagnosis of dyspnoea and venous thromboembolism, and initiating bronchodilating agents (particularly short-acting β2-agonists) and triptans. SARS-CoV-2-positive individuals had higher risk of visiting a general practitioner and outpatient clinic than negative individuals, but no difference was noted for emergency department visits and inpatient hospital admissions.

In the study, the authors only investigated six persisting symptoms, which did not cover the whole potential clinical spectrum. In addition, the prevalence of the persistent symptoms in patients with COVID-19 was about 1%, which was lower than that in a previous study, which showed a rate of 5–15%.⁵ Given the inherent nature of this type of registration study, there is the possibility of greatly underestimating the actual

prevalence, because there are many reasons that patients with persistent symptoms might not visit the healthcare service, such as symptoms being mild, not having health insurance or access to health care, and the risk of reinfection when visiting a health-care facility during the COVID-19 pandemic. Although the number might be underestimated, SARS-CoV-2-positive individuals still more frequently developed dyspnoea than SARS-CoV-2-negative individuals, supporting the finding of greater prescription of bronchodilating agents in SARS-CoV-2-positive individuals. These findings will prompt health-care workers to focus on those patients who have recovered from COVID-19 who already have potential risk factors for dyspnoea, such as chronic pulmonary disease, heart failure, and pulmonary hypertension. Monitoring respiratory rate and oxygen saturation at home were simple and practicle ways for these patients to assess their respiratory function and health status.

SARS-CoV-2 could impair function of multiple organs, including the coagulation system. Venous thromboembolism has always been a key concern in patients with COVID-19. Patients admitted to COVID-19 frequently developed venous thromboembolism during their hospital stay, especially in critically ill patients.7 A prospective study in China of patients discharged after a hospital stay due to COVID-19 reported that no deep vein thrombosis of lower limbs occurred in 390 participants by ultrasonography 6 months after illness onset.3 Another prospective follow-up study of patients admitted to hospital due to COVID-19 showed that at 6 weeks after discharge, one (1%) of 102 patients developed a symptomatic venous thromboembolism event by venous ultrasound.8 Hence, the incidence of post-acute venous thromboembolism in patients with COVID-19 after admission to hospital is very low. This finding is supported by the study by Lund and colleagues,⁶ in which 20 (0.2%) of 8785 SARS-CoV-2-positive individuals not requiring hospital admission had a venous thromboembolism. However, considering the possibility of an underestimate, the short follow-up time, and the huge numbers of SARS-CoV-2 infections worldwide, venous thromboembolism could be a potential concern. Endothelialitis, caused by SARS-CoV-2 direct damage





Lancet Infect Dis 2021 Published Online May 10, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1473-3099(21)00225-5 See Online/Articles https://doi.org/10.1016/

https://doi.org/10.1016/ 51473-3099(21)00211-5 or immunological damage, could be associated with thrombotic complications,^{9,10} but it is unknown how long endothelialitis persists. The conclusive evidence of thromboprophylaxis for patients with COVID-19 not requiring hospital admission is not yet available, but some clinical studies addressing this area have been registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04498273 and NCT04508023). Regarding the issue of post-acute thrombosis, older age, elevated D-dimers, and comorbidities such as cancer and immobility might help to risk stratify patients.²

Most SARS-CoV-2 infections will remain asymptomatic and mild for the foreseeable future, so understanding the long-term consequences of COVID-19 in these populations is crucial to the natural history of the emerging disease. Despite the limitations of this type of cohort study, the results of Lund and colleagues⁶ provide evidence of the increased risk of lasting sequelae for a population that should not be ignored—patients with COVID-19 who are not admitted to hospital. In the future, follow-up clinics are needed to establish longerterm outcomes in this population and explore strategies to prevent and mitigate sequelae of COVID-19.

Lixue Huang, Bin Cao caobin_ben@163.com

Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China (LH); Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, National Center for Respiratory Medicine, Center of Respiratory Medicine, National Clinical Research Center for Respiratory Diseases, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, China (LH, BC); Institute of Respiratory Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Science, Beijing, China (BC); Tsinghua University-Peking University Joint Center for Life Sciences, Beijing, China (BC)

- Lerner AM, Robinson DA, Yang L, et al. Toward understanding COVID-19 recovery: National Institutes of Health workshop on postacute COVID-19. Ann Intern Med 2021; published online March 30. https://doi.org/10.7326/ M21-1043.
- Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Nat Med 2021; **27:** 601–15.
- Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, et al. 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: a cohort study. Lancet 2021; 397: 220–32.
- 4 Morin L, Savale L, Pham T, et al. Four-month clinical status of a cohort of patients after hospitalization for COVID-19. JAMA 2021; published online March 17. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.3331.
- 5 Stavem K, Ghanima W, Olsen MK, Gilboe HM, Einvik G. Persistent symptoms 1.5–6 months after COVID-19 in non-hospitalised subjects: a population-based cohort study. Thorax 2020; 76: 405–07.
- 5 Lund LC, Hallas J, Nielsen H, et al. Post-acute effects of SARS-CoV-2 infection in individuals not requiring hospital admission: a Danish population-based cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021; published online May 10. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00211-5.
- 7 Middeldorp S, Coppens M, van Haaps TF, et al. Incidence of venous thromboembolism in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. J Thromb Haemost 2020; 18: 1995–2002.
- 8 Engelen M, Vanassche T, Balthazar T, et al. Incidence of venous thromboembolism in patients discharged after COVID-19 hospitalisation. ISTH 2020 Congress; online; July 12–14, 2020 (abstr LB/CO01.3).
- 9 Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, et al. Pulmonary vascular endothelialitis, thrombosis, and angiogenesis in Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020; 383: 120–28.
- 10 Perico L, Benigni A, Casiraghi F, Ng LFP, Renia L, Remuzzi G. Immunity, endothelial injury and complement-induced coagulopathy in COVID-19. Nat Rev Nephrol 2021; 17: 46–64.

We declare funding by Natural Science Foundation of China (82041011/H0104) and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS 2018-I2M-1-003 and 2020-I2M-CoV19-005). This work was also supported by the China Evergrande Group, Jack Ma Foundation, Sino Biopharmaceutical, Ping An Insurance (Group), and New Sunshine Charity Foundation.